1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	United States District Court
9	Eastern District of California
10	
11	
12	Keith Somers,
13	Plaintiff, No. Civ. S 05-0741 MCE PAN P
14	vs. Order
15	M. Perez, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	-000-
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner without counsel, filed a civil
19	rights action and request to proceed in forma pauperis.
20	The case proceeds on the April 27, 2005, amended complaint
21	filed as of right pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15.
22	Plaintiff alleges the Board of Prison Terms violated his
23	constitutional rights in addressing his suitability for parole
24	and requests a new hearing.
25	When a prisoner seeks an earlier or immediate release from

26 prison or requests relief with respect to his term of

Case 2:05-cv-00741-MCE-EFB Document 9 Filed 06/16/05 Page 2 of 2

imprisonment, his sole federal remedy is by means of a petition for writ of habeas corpus. Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973). Before a state prisoner may bring a federal habeas corpus action, he must present his claims to the state's highest court. Picard v. Connor, 404 U.S. 270, 276 (1971); Middleton v. Cupp, 768 F.2d 1083, 1086 (9th Cir. 1986).

Plaintiff's claims should be raised in a habeas corpus petition brought after exhausting state judicial remedies.

Accordingly, the court hereby orders that:

- 1. The Clerk of Court shall send plaintiff an application for writ of habeas corpus.
- 2. Plaintiff shall, within 20 days, complete and return the application for habeas corpus, which will supersede prior pleading.

Dated: June 16, 2005.

/s/ Peter A. Nowinski
PETER A. NOWINSKI
Magistrate Judge